

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/522,407 Examiner /Peter A. Hruskoci/	Applicant(s) LAKSHMAN, GURUNATHAN <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Art Unit 1797</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>		Art Unit 1797	
Art Unit 1797					

All Participants:

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) Michael R. Williams.

Date of Interview: 1 May 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior Art rejections as stated in the Prior Office action dated 10/5/07

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend the claims as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of pages 7-9 of the specification and the Lakshman Declaration, and for the sake of clarity and accuracy.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Peter A. Hruskoci/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)